

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/811,094	03/26/2004	Thomas J. Skierski	7265	9720		
75	90 02/22/2005	EXAMINER				
	Williams Company	LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G				
11 Midland Bldg	g Legal Dept. venue, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Cleveland, OH 44115			2877			
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			10/811,094		SKIERSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			L. G. Lauchman		2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status					•				
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/2004. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schermacher et al (US 6,719,452), and in view of Crozer (US 5,116,408).

As to Claim 1, the patent '452 teaches a method comprising the steps of (see col. 1. lines 29-67): providing a spectrophotometer; forming a standard batch using the selected formula; adding a first set amount of a white colorant to the standard batch to form a standard measurement batch; forming a standard layer of the standard measurement batch on a first substrate such that the standard layer completely hides the first substrate; obtaining a test sample of the manufactured batch; adding a second set amount of the white colorant to the test sample to form a test measurement sample, wherein the proportion of the second set amount of the white

Art Unit: 2877

colorant to the test sample is substantially the same as the proportion of the first amount of the white colorant to the standard batch; forming a test layer of the test measurement sample on a second substrate such that the test layer completely hides the second substrate; obtaining reflectance measurements of the standard layer and the test layer using the spectrophotometer; and using the reflectance measurements to determine if the at least one property of the manufactured batch is within an acceptable deviation range of at least one property of the standard batch.

The method disclosed in the patent '452 is not intended to verify a property of a manufactured batch of a wood stain. Instead, the method is used for verifying a property of transparent tint. The transparent tints contain pigments so that they impart the desired color to a coating without detracting from its transparency and clarity. The patent to Crozer ('408) discloses composition of a wood stain that could be subjected to color matching by existing color specification systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method as discloses in the patent '452 in verification of a property of a wood stain, since the wood stain as disclosed in '452 possesses adequate transparency, can be formulated in a wide color selection, and reproducible from batch to batch.

As to Claim 2, the at least one property of the manufactured batch of the wood stain is color (see col. 2, lines 42-60).

As to Claim 3, the at least one property of the manufactured batch of the semi-transparent wood stain is tinting strength (see col. 2, lines 42-60).

Art Unit: 2877

Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2877 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
- b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent. This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418. The examiner's normal work schedule is 8:00am to 4:30pm (EST), Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059, ext. 77.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

L. G. Lauchman Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877

February 18, 2005